arXiv:1407.1367v1 [math.CV] 5 Jul 2014

QUASICONFORMAL HARMONIC MAPPINGS BETWEEN DINI'S SMOOTH JORDAN DOMAINS

DAVID KALAJ

ABSTRACT. Let D and Ω be Jordan domains with Dini's smooth boundaries and and let $f : D \mapsto \Omega$ be a harmonic homeomorphism. The object of the paper is to prove the following result: If f is quasiconformal, then f is Lipschitz. This extends some recent results, where stronger assumptions on the boundary are imposed, and somehow is optimal, since it coincides with the best condition for Lipschitz behavior of conformal mappings in the plane and conformal parametrization of minimal surfaces.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and statement of the main result 1
1.1. Quasiconformal mappings 1
1.2. Harmonic mappings 2
1.3. Hilbert transform 2
1.4. Background 4
1.5. New results 5
2. Preliminary results 6
3. The proof of Theorem 1.1 10
Acknowledgement 13
References 13

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULT

1.1. **Quasiconformal mappings.** By definition, K-quasiconformal mappings (or shortly q.c. mappings) are orientation preserving homeomorphisms $f : D \to \Omega$ between domains $D, \Omega \subset \mathbf{C}$, contained in the Sobolev class $W^{1,2}_{loc}(D)$, for which the differential matrix and its determinant are coupled in the distortion inequality,

$$|Df(z)|^2 \leq K \det Df(z) , \quad \text{where} \quad |Df(z)| = \max_{|\xi|=1} |Df(z)\xi|, \tag{1.1}$$

for some $K \geq 1$. Here $\det Df(z)$ is the determinant of the formal derivative $Df(z)$, which will be denoted in the sequel by $J_f(z)$. Note that the condition (1.1) can be

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C55, Secondary 31C05.
Key words and phrases. Planar harmonic mappings, Quasiconformal, Dini smooth.
File: diniqc.tex, printed: 2014-7-8, 0.38

written in complex notation as

$$(|f_z| + |f_{\bar{z}}|)^2 \leq K(|f_z|^2 - |f_{\bar{z}}^2|) \quad \text{a.e. on } D \tag{1.2}$$

or what is the same

$$|f_{\bar{z}}| \leq k|f_z| \quad \text{a.e. on } D \text{ where } k = \frac{K-1}{K+1} \text{ i.e. } K = \frac{1+k}{1-k}\,.$$

1.2. **Harmonic mappings.** A mapping f is called *harmonic* in a region D if it has the form $f = u + iv$ where u and v are real-valued harmonic functions in D. If D is simply-connected, then there are two analytic functions g and h defined on D such that f has the representation

$$f = g + \overline{h}.$$

If f is a harmonic univalent function, then by Lewy's theorem (see [24]), f has a non-vanishing Jacobian and consequently, according to the inverse mapping theorem, f is a diffeomorphism.

Let

$$P(r, x-\varphi) = \frac{1-r^2}{2\pi(1-2r\cos(x-\varphi)+r^2)}$$

denote the Poisson kernel. If $F \in L^1(\mathbf{T})$, where $\mathbf{T}$ is the unit circle, then we define the Poisson integral $\mathcal{P}[F]$ of F by formula

$$\mathcal{P}[F](z) = \int_0^{2\pi} P(r, x-\varphi)F(e^{ix})dx, \;\; |z| < 1, \;\; z = re^{i\varphi}. \tag{1.3}$$

The function $f(z) = \mathcal{P}[F](z)$ is a harmonic mapping in the unit disk $\mathbf{U} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$, which belongs to the Hardy space $h^1(\mathbf{U})$. The mapping f is bounded in $\mathbf{U} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ if and only if $F \in L^\infty(\mathbf{T})$. Standard properties of the Poisson integral show that $\mathcal{P}[F]$ extends by continuity to F on $\overline{\mathbf{U}}$, provided that F is continuous. For this facts and standard properties of harmonic Hardy space we refer to [3, Chapter 6] and [9]. With the additional assumption that F is orientation-preserving homeomorphism of this circle onto a convex Jordan curve γ, $\mathcal{P}[F]$ is an orientation preserving diffeomorphism of the open unit disk. This is indeed the celebrated theorem of Choquet-Rado-Kneser ([5, 6]). This theorem is not true for non-convex domains, but hold true under some additional assumptions. It has been extended in various directions (see for example [12], [14] and [7]).

1.3. **Hilbert transform.** The Hilbert transform of a function $\chi \in L^1(\mathbf{T})$ is defined by the formula

$$\tilde{\chi}(\tau) = H(\chi)(\tau) = -\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{0^+}^{\pi} \frac{\chi(\tau+t)-\chi(\tau-t)}{2\tan(t/2)}\mathrm{d}t. \tag{1.4}$$

Here $\int_{0^+}^{\pi}\Phi(t)dt := \lim_{\epsilon\to 0^+}\int_{\epsilon}^{\pi}\Phi(t)dt$. This integral is improper and converges for a.e. $\tau \in [0, 2\pi]$; this and other facts concerning the operator H used in this paper can be found in the book of Zygmund [31, Chapter VII]. If $f = u + iv$ is a harmonic function defined in the unit disk $\mathbf{U}$ then a harmonic function $\tilde{f} = \tilde{u} + i\tilde{v}$

is called the harmonic conjugate of f if $u + i\tilde{u}$ and $v + i\tilde{v}$ are analytic functions and $\tilde{f}(0) = 0$. Let $\chi, \tilde{\chi} \in L^1(\mathbf{T})$. Then

$$\mathcal{P}[\tilde{\chi}] = \widetilde{\mathcal{P}[\chi]}, \tag{1.5}$$

where $\tilde{k}(z)$ is the harmonic conjugate of $k(z)$ (see e.g. [27, Theorem 6.1.3]).

If $f = u + iv$ is a harmonic function defined in a Dini smooth Jordan domain D then a harmonic function $\tilde{f} = \tilde{u} + i\tilde{v}$ is called the harmonic conjugate of f if $u+i\tilde{u}$ and $v+i\tilde{v}$ are analytic functions. Notice that $\tilde{f}$ is uniquely determined up to an additive constant. Let $\Phi : D \to \mathbf{U}$ be a conformal mapping, and $G \in L^1(\partial D)$. Then the Poisson integral w.r.t. domain D of G is defined by

$$\mathcal{P}_D[G](z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D} \frac{1 - |\Phi(z)|^2}{|\Phi(z) - \Phi(\zeta)|^2} G(\zeta) |\Phi'(\zeta)| d\zeta|.$$

Let χ be the boundary value of f and assume that $\tilde{\chi}$ is the boundary value of $\tilde{f}$. Then $\tilde{\chi}$ is called the Hilbert transform of χ, i.e. $\tilde{\chi} = H(\chi)$. Assume that $\tilde{\chi} \in L^1(\partial D)$. Since $\mathcal{P}_D[G](z) = \mathcal{P}[G \circ \Phi^{-1}](\Phi(z))$, we have

$$\mathcal{P}_D[\tilde{\chi}] = \widetilde{\mathcal{P}_D[\chi]}, \tag{1.6}$$

where $\tilde{k}(z)$ is the harmonic conjugate of $k(z)$ (c.f. (1.5)).

If $f = g + \overline{h} : \mathbf{U} \to \Omega$ is a harmonic function mapping then the radial and tangential derivatives at $z = re^{it}$ are defined by

$$\partial_r f(z) = \frac{1}{r}(g' + \overline{h'})$$

and

$$\partial_t f(z) = i(g' - \overline{h'}).$$

So $r\partial_r f$ is the harmonic conjugate of $\partial_t f$. We generalize this definition for a mapping $f = g + \overline{h}$ defined in a Jordan domain D. In order to do so, let $\Phi = Re^{i\Theta}$ be a conformal mapping of the domain D onto the unit disk. Then the radial derivative and tangent derivative of f in a point $w \in D$ are defined by

$$\partial_R f(w) = \frac{1}{|\Phi(w)|} Df(w) \left(\frac{\Phi(w)}{\Phi'(w)} \right)$$

$$\partial_\Theta f(w) = Df(w) \left(i \frac{\Phi(w)}{\Phi'(w)} \right).$$

Here $\frac{\Phi(w)}{\Phi'(w)}$ and $i\frac{\Phi(w)}{\Phi'(w)}$ are treated as two vectors from $\mathbf{R}^2 \cong \mathbf{C}$. Then it is easy to show that

$$R\partial_R f(w) = \frac{g'(w)}{\Phi'(w)} + \frac{\overline{h'(w)}}{\overline{\Phi'(w)}}$$

and

$$\partial_\Theta f(w) = i \left(\frac{g'(w)}{\Phi'(w)} - \frac{\overline{h'(w)}}{\overline{\Phi'(w)}} \right).$$

This implies that $R\partial_R f(w)$ and $\partial_\Theta f(w)$ are harmonic functions in D and $R\partial_R f(w)$ is the harmonic conjugate of $\partial_\Theta f(w)$. Notice also that, these derivatives are uniquely

determined up to a conformal mapping Φ. Assume further that D and Ω have Dini smooth boundaries. If $F : \partial D \to \partial\Omega$ is the boundary function of f, and $\partial_\Theta f(w)$ is a bounded harmonic function, then

$$\lim_{w\to w_0} \partial_\Theta f(w) = F'(w_0),$$

where the limit is non-tangential. Here

$$F'(w_0) := \frac{\partial(F \circ \Phi^{-1})(e^{it})}{\partial t}$$

where $\Phi(w_0) = e^{it}$. If $F' \in L^1(\partial D)$, then the harmonic function $R\partial_R f(w)$ has non-tangential limits in almost every point of ∂D and its boundary value is the Hilbert transform of F', namely

$$H(F')(w_0) = \lim_{w\to w_0} R\partial_R f(w).$$

From now on the boundary value of f will be denoted by F. We will focus on orientation-preserving harmonic quasiconformal mappings between smooth domains and investigate their Lipschitz character up to the boundary. For future reference, we will say that a q.c. mapping $f : \mathbf{U} \to \Omega$ of the unit disk onto the Jordan domain Ω with rectifiable boundary is *normalized* if $f(1) = w_0$, $f(e^{2\pi i/3}) = w_1$ and $f(e^{4\pi i/3}) = w_2$, where w_0w_1, w_1w_2 and w_2w_0 are arcs of $\gamma = \partial\Omega$ having the same length $|\gamma|/3$.

1.4. **Background.** Let Ω be a Jordan domain with rectifiable boundary, and $\gamma(t)$ the arc-length parametrization of $\partial\Omega$. We say that $\partial\Omega$ is C^1 if $\gamma \in C^1$. Then $\arg\gamma'$ is continuous and let ω be its modulus of continuity. If ω satisfies

$$\int_0^\delta \frac{\omega(t)}{t} dt < \infty \ \ (\delta > 0); \tag{1.7}$$

we say that $\partial\Omega$ is Dini smooth. Denote by $C^{1,\varpi}$ the class of all Dini smooth Jordan curves. The derivative of a conformal mapping f of the unit disk onto Ω is continuous and non-vanishing in $\overline{D}$ [28, Theorem 10.2] (see also [30]). This implies that f is bi-Lipschitz continuous. For the later reference we refer to this result as the Kellogg theorem, who was the first to prove this result for $C^{1,\alpha}$, domains, where $0 < \alpha < 1$. Warschawski in [29] proved the same result for conformal parametrization of a minimal surface.

If f is merely quasiconformal and maps the unit disk onto itself, then Mori theorem implies that $|f(z) - f(w)| \le M_1(K)|z - w|^{1/K}$. The constant $1/K$ is the best possible. If f is a conformal mapping of the unit disk onto a Jordan domain with merely C^1 boundary, then the function f is not necessarily Lipschitz (see for example the paper of Lesley and Warschawski [23, p. 277]). This is why we need to add some assumption, other than quasiconformality, as well as some smoothness of image curve which is better than C^1, in order to obtain that the resulting mapping is Lipschitz or bi-Lipschitz.

Since every conformal mapping in the plane is harmonic and quasiconformal, it is an interesting question to what extend the smoothness of the boundary of a Jordan domain Ω implies that the quasiconformal harmonic mapping of the unit

disk onto Ω is Lipschitz. The first study of harmonic quasiconformal mappings of the unit disk onto itself has been done by O. Martio [25]. By using Heinz inequality [11], Martio gave some sufficient conditions on a diffeomorphic self-mapping F of the unit circle such that its harmonic extension $\mathcal{P}$ is quasiconformal. This paper has been generalized by the author in [21] for q.c. mappings from the unit disk onto a convex Jordan domain. Pavlović in [26] proved that every q.c. harmonic mapping of the unit disk onto itself is Lipschitz, providing very clever proof. Kalaj in [16] proved that every q.c. harmonic mapping between two Jordan domains with $C^{1,\alpha}$ boundary is Lipschitz. This result has its counterpart for non-euclidean metrics [18]. For a generalization of the last result to several-dimensional case we refer to the paper [19]. The problem of bi-Lipschitz continuity of a quasiconformal mapping of the unit disk onto a Jordan domain with C^2 boundary has been solved in [20]. The object of the present paper is to extend some of these results.

1.5. **New results.** The following theorem is such an extension in which the Hölder continuity is replaced by the more general Dini condition.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f = \mathcal{P}[F](z)$ be a harmonic normalized K quasiconformal mapping between the unit disk and the Jordan domain Ω with $\gamma = \partial\Omega \in C^{1,\varpi}$. Then there exists a constant $C' = C'(\gamma, K)$ such that*

$$\left|\frac{\partial F(e^{i\varphi})}{\partial \varphi}\right| \leq C' \text{ for almost every } \varphi \in [0, 2\pi], \tag{1.8}$$

and

$$|f(z_1) - f(z_2)| \leq KC'|z_1 - z_2| \text{ for } z_1, z_2 \in \mathbf{U}. \tag{1.9}$$

By using Theorem 1.1, we obtain the following improvement of [16, Theorem 3.1].

Theorem 1.2. *Let D and Ω be Jordan domains such that $\partial D, \partial\Omega \in C^{1,\varpi}$ and let $f : D \mapsto \Omega$ be a harmonic homeomorphism. The following statements hold true.*

(a) *If f is quasiconformal, then f is Lipschitz.*
(b) *If Ω is convex and f is q.c., then f is bi-Lipschitz.*
(c) *If Ω is convex, then f is q.c. if and only* $\log |F'|, H(F') \in L^\infty(\partial D)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. (a) Chose a conformal mapping $\Phi : \mathbf{U} \to D$ and define $f_1 = f \circ \Phi$. Then f_1 is a q.c. harmonic mapping of the unit disk onto Ω', so it satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.1. This implies in particular that f_1 is Lipschitz. In view of Kellogg theorem, the mapping Φ is bi-Lipschitz. Thus $f = f_1 \circ h^{-1}$ is Lipschitz.

(b) If Ω is a convex domain, and $D = \mathbf{U}$ then by a result of the author ([13]) we have that

$$|Df(z)| \geq \frac{1}{4}\mathrm{dist}(f(0), \partial\Omega')$$

for $z \in \mathbf{U}$. If Ω is not the unit disk, then we make use of the conformal mapping $\Phi : \mathbf{U} \to \Omega$ as in the proof of (a). Then we obtain

$$|Df(z)| = |Df_1(z)|/|\Phi'(z)| \geq c.$$

Now by using the quasiconformality of f, we have that

$$|Df(z)|^2 \leq KJ_f(z).$$

Therefore

$$J_{f^{-1}(f(z))} = \frac{1}{J_f(z)} \leq \frac{K}{c^2}.$$

Since f^{-1} is $K-$quasiconformal, we have further that

$$|Df^{-1}(w)|^2 \leq KJ_{f^{-1}}(w) \leq \frac{K^2}{c^2}.$$

This implies that f^{-1} is Lipschitz. This finishes the proof of (b).

(c) If f is harmonic and quasiconformal, then by (b) it is bi-Lipschitz, and so its boundary function F is bi-Lipschitz. Further $R\partial_R f$ is bounded harmonic function and this is equivalent with the fact that $\log|F'| \in L^\infty(\partial D)$. Since $H(F')$ is its boundary function, it is bounded, i.e. it belongs to $L^\infty(\partial D)$.

Prove now the opposite implication. Since

$$\partial_\Theta f = \mathcal{P}_D[F'] \text{ and } R\partial_R f = \mathcal{P}_D[H(F')],$$

it follows that $\partial_\Theta f$ and $R\partial_R f$ are bounded harmonic functions. This means that $|Df|$ is bounded by a constant M. In order to show that f is quasiconformal, it is enough to show that the Jacobian of f is bigger than a positive constant in D. Let $f_1 = f \circ \Phi^{-1}$, and let $\delta = \operatorname{dist}(f_1(0), \partial\Omega)$ and $\kappa = \min|\partial_t f_1(e^{it})|$. Then by [21, Corollary 2.9], we have

$$J_f(\Phi(w))|\Phi'(w)|^2 = J_{f_1}(w) \geq \frac{\kappa\delta}{2}.$$

So

$$J_f(z) \geq c > 0, \;\; z \in D.$$

We conclude that

$$\frac{|Df(z)|^2}{J_f(z)} \leq \frac{M^2}{c}.$$

This finishes the proof.

□

2. Preliminary results

Definition 2.1. Let $\xi : [a,b] \to \mathbf{C}$ be a continuous function. The modulus of continuity of ξ is

$$\omega(t) = \omega_\xi(t) = \sup_{|x-y|\leq t} |\xi(x) - \xi(y)|.$$

The function ξ is called Dini continuous if

$$\int_0^{b-a} \frac{\omega_\xi(t)}{t}\, dt < \infty. \tag{2.1}$$

A smooth Jordan curve γ with the length $l = |\gamma|$, is said to be Dini smooth if g' is Dini continuous on $[0,l]$. If $\omega(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq l$ is the modulus of continuity of g', then we extend $\omega(t) = \omega(l)$ for $t \geq l$.

A function $F : \mathbf{T} \to \gamma$ is called Dini smooth if the function $\Phi(t) = F(e^{it})$ is Dini smooth, i.e.

$$|\Phi'(t) - \Phi'(s)| \leq \omega(|t-s|),$$

where ω is Dini continuous. Observe that every smooth $C^{1,\alpha}$ Jordan curve is Dini smooth.

Let

$$\mathcal{K}(s,t) = \mathrm{Re}\,[\overline{(g(t)-g(s))} \cdot ig'(s)] \tag{2.2}$$

be a function defined on $[0,l] \times [0,l]$. By $\mathcal{K}(s \pm l, t \pm l) = \mathcal{K}(s,t)$ we extend it on $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$. Suppose now that $\Psi : \mathbf{R} \mapsto \gamma$ is an arbitrary 2π periodic Lipschitz function such that $\Psi|_{[0,2\pi)} : [0,2\pi) \mapsto \gamma$ is an orientation preserving bijective function. Then there exists an increasing continuous function $\psi : [0,2\pi] \mapsto [0,l]$ such that

$$\Psi(\tau) = g(\psi(\tau)). \tag{2.3}$$

We have for a.e. $e^{i\tau} \in \mathbf{T}$ that

$$\Psi'(\tau) = g'(\psi(\tau)) \cdot \psi'(\tau),$$

and therefore

$$|\Psi'(\tau)| = |g'(\psi(\tau))| \cdot |\psi'(\tau)| = \psi'(\tau).$$

Along with the function $\mathcal{K}$ we will also consider the function $\mathcal{K}_F$ defined by

$$\mathcal{K}_F(t,\tau) = \mathrm{Re}\,[\overline{(\Psi(t)-\Psi(\tau))} \cdot i\Psi'(\tau)].$$

Here $F(e^{it}) = \Psi(t)$. It is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{K}_F(t,\tau) = \psi'(\tau)\mathcal{K}(\psi(t),\psi(\tau)). \tag{2.4}$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let γ be a Dini smooth Jordan curve and $g : [0,l] \mapsto \gamma$ be a natural parametrization of a Jordan curve with g' having modulus of continuity ω. Assume further that $\Psi : [0,2\pi] \mapsto \gamma$ is an arbitrary parametrization of γ and let $F(e^{it}) = \Psi(t)$. Then*

$$|\mathcal{K}(s,t)| \leq \int_0^{\min\{|s-t|,l-|s-t|\}} \omega(\tau)d\tau \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$|\mathcal{K}_F(\varphi,x)| \leq |\psi'(\varphi)| \int_0^{d_\gamma(\Psi(\varphi),\Psi(x))} \omega(\tau)d\tau. \tag{2.6}$$

Here $d_\gamma(\Psi(\varphi),\Psi(x)) := \min\{|s(\varphi)-s(x)|, (l-|s(\varphi)-s(x)|)\}$ is the distance (shorter) between $\Psi(\varphi)$ and $\Psi(x)$ along γ which satisfies the relation

$$|\Psi(\varphi)-\Psi(x)| \leq d_\gamma(\Psi(\varphi),\Psi(x)) \leq B_\gamma|\Psi(\varphi)-\Psi(x)|.$$

Proof. Note that the estimate (2.5) has been proved in [14, Lemma 2.3]. Now (2.6) follows from (2.5) and (2.4).

□

A closed rectifiable Jordan curve γ enjoys a $B-$ chord-arc condition for some constant $B > 1$ if for all $z_1, z_2 \in \gamma$

$$d_\gamma(z_1, z_2) \le B|z_1 - z_2|. \tag{2.7}$$

It is clear that if $\gamma \in C^1$, then γ enjoys a chord-arc condition for some $B_\gamma > 1$. The following lemma is proved in [15].

Lemma 2.3. *Assume that γ enjoys a chord-arc condition for some $B > 1$. Then for every normalized $K-$ q.c. mapping f between the unit disk $\mathbf{U}$ and the Jordan domain $\Omega = \mathrm{int}\gamma$ we have*

$$|f(z_1) - f(z_2)| \le \Lambda_\gamma(K)|z_1 - z_2|^\alpha, \quad z_1, z_2 \in \mathbf{T},$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{2}{K(1+2B)^2}, \quad \Lambda_\gamma(K) = 4 \cdot 2^\alpha (1+2B)\sqrt{\frac{2\pi K|\Omega|}{\log 2}}.$$

In the following lemma, there were given some estimates for the Jacobian of a harmonic univalent function.

Lemma 2.4. [14, Lemma 3.1] *If $f = \mathcal{P}[F]$ is a harmonic mapping, such that F is a Lipschitz homeomorphism from the unit circle onto a Dini smooth Jordan curve. Let g be arc-length parametrization and assume that $\Psi(t) = F(e^{it}) = g(\psi(t))$. Then for almost every $\tau \in [0, 2\pi]$ there exists*

$$J_f(e^{i\tau}) := \lim_{r\to 1} J_f(re^{i\tau})$$

and there hold the formula

$$J_f(e^{i\tau}) = \psi'(\tau)\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\mathrm{Re}\,[\overline{(g(\psi(t)) - g(\psi(\tau)))} \cdot ig'(\psi(\tau)))]}{2\sin^2\frac{t-\tau}{2}}\frac{dt}{2\pi}. \tag{2.8}$$

From Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.4 we obtain

Lemma 2.5. *Under the conditions and notation of Lemma 2.4 we have*

$$J_f(e^{i\varphi}) \le \frac{\pi}{4}|\Psi'(\varphi)| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{x^2}\int_0^{d_\gamma(F(e^{i(\varphi+x)}),F(e^{i\varphi}))} \omega(\tau)d\tau dx \tag{2.9}$$

for a.e. $e^{i\varphi} \in \mathbf{T}$. Here ω is the modulus of continuity of g'.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $f = \mathcal{P}[F](z)$ be a harmonic mapping between the unit disk $\mathbf{U}$ and the Jordan domain Ω, such that $F \in C^{1,\varpi}(\mathbf{T})$. Then partial derivatives of f have continuous extension to the boundary of the unit disk.*

Proof. Denote by $\Psi'(t)$ the $\partial_t F(e^{it})$. If F is Lipschitz continuous, then $\Phi = \Psi' \in L^\infty(\mathbf{T})$, and by famous Marcel Riesz theorem (see e.g. [10, Theorem 2.3]), for $1 < p < \infty$ there is a constant A_p such that

$$\|H(\Psi')\|_{L^p(\mathbf{T})} \le A_p\|\Psi'\|_{L^p(\mathbf{T})}.$$

It follows that $\tilde{\Phi} = H(\Psi') \in L^1$. Since rf_r is the harmonic conjugate of f_τ, according to (1.5), we have $rw_r = \mathcal{P}[H(\Psi')]$, and by using again the Fatou's theorem we have

$$\lim_{r\to 1^-} f_r(re^{i\tau}) = H(\Psi')(\tau) \ (a.e.) \tag{2.10}$$

By (1.4), by following the proof of Privaloff theorem [31], we obtain that if $|\Psi'(x)-\Psi'(y)| \leq \omega(|x-y|)$ for the Dini continuous function, then

$$|H(\Psi')(x+h) - H(\Psi')(x)| \leq A\int_0^{2h} \frac{\omega(t)}{t}dt + Bh\int_h^{2\pi} \frac{\omega(t)}{t^2}dt + C\omega(h),$$

for some absolute constants A, B and C. The detailed proof of the last fact can be found in Garnet book (see [10, Theorem III 1.3.]). This implies that $rw_r(re^{it})$ and $f_t(re^{it})$ have continuous extension to the boundary and this is what we needed to prove. □

We now prove the following lemma needed in the sequel

Lemma 2.7. *Let A be a positive integrable function in $[0, B]$ and assume that $q, Q > 0$. Then there exists a continuous increasing function χ of $(0, +\infty)$ into itself depending on A, B, q and and Q such that $\lim_{x\to\infty} \chi(x) = \infty$, the function $g(x) = x\chi(x)$ is convex and*

$$\int_0^B A(x)\chi(Qx^{-q})dx \leq 4\int_0^B A(x)dx$$

holds.

Proof. First define inductively a sequence $x_0 = B$, $x_k > 0$, $k > 0$ such that $x_{k+1} < x_k/2$, and

$$\int_0^{x_k} A(x)dx \leq M2^{-k},$$

where

$$M = \int_0^B A(x)dx.$$

This is possible because A is integrable.

Then define a continuous function ξ in $[0, B]$ by $\xi(x_k) = k$ and ξ is linear on each interval $[x_{k+1}, x_k]$, that is

$$\xi(x) = k + \frac{x_k - x}{x_k - x_{k+1}}, \quad x \in [x_{k+1}, x_k].$$

It is easy to see that this function is convex, decreasing and tends to $+\infty$ as $x \to \infty$. Moreover

$$\int_0^B A(x)\xi(x)dx \leq M\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+1)2^{-k} = 4M.$$

Now set $\chi(x) = \xi((Q/x)^\tau)$, $\tau = 1/q$, and it remains to verity that $x\chi(x)$ is convex. This we do by differentiation:

$$(x\chi(x))' = \xi(Q^\tau x^{-\tau}) - Q^\tau \tau x^{-\tau}\xi'(Q^\tau x^{-\tau}).$$

Both summands are increasing, therefore $x\chi(x)$ is convex. □

3. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

By assumption of the theorem, the derivative of an arc-length parametrization g' has a Dini continuous modulus of continuity ω. Consider two cases. **(i)** $F(e^{it}) = \Psi(t) \in C^{1,\varpi}(\mathbf{T})$**.** Then by Lemma 2.6 the mapping $f(z) = \mathcal{P}[F](z)$ is C^1 up to the boundary. Notice first that, if $L = \sup|\Psi'(t)|$, then it is clear that $L < \infty$. We will prove more. We will show that L is bounded by a constant not depending a priory on F. According to Lemma 2.6, and to (1.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Df(e^{i\varphi})|^2 &= (|f_z(e^{i\varphi})| + |f_{\bar z}(e^{i\varphi})|)^2 \\ &= \lim_{z\to e^{i\varphi}} (|f_z(z)| + |f_{\bar z}(z)|)^2 \\ &\le K \lim_{z\to e^{i\varphi}} (|f_z(z)|^2 - |f_{\bar z}(z)|^2) \\ &= K(|f_z(e^{i\varphi})|^2 - |f_{\bar z}(e^{i\varphi})|^2) = KJ_f(e^{i\varphi}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Further

$$|Df(re^{i\varphi})| = \sup_{|\xi|=1} |Dw(re^{i\varphi})\xi| \ge |Dw(re^{i\varphi})(ie^{i\varphi})| = |\partial_\varphi f(re^{i\varphi})|. \tag{3.2}$$

This implies that

$$|Df(e^{i\varphi})|^2 \ge |\partial_\varphi f(e^{i\varphi})|^2 = |\Psi'(\varphi)|^2. \tag{3.3}$$

From (2.9) (3.3) and (3.1), we obtain:

$$|\Psi'(\varphi)|^2 \le KC_1|\Psi'(\varphi)| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{x^2} \int_0^{\rho(x,\varphi)} \omega(\tau)d\tau dx,$$

where

$$\rho(x,\varphi) = d_\gamma(F(e^{i(\varphi+x)}), F(e^{i\varphi}))$$

i.e.

$$|\Psi'(\varphi)| \le KC_1 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\rho(\varphi,x)}{x^2} \int_0^1 \omega(\tau\rho(\varphi,x))d\tau dx.$$

Thus

$$|\Psi'(\varphi)| \le KC_1 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\rho(\varphi,x)}{x^2} \omega(\rho(\varphi,x))dx.$$

Let

$$L := \max_{x\in[0,2\pi]} |\Psi'(x)| = \max_{x\in[0,2\pi]} \psi'(x) = \psi'(\varphi). \tag{3.4}$$

Then

$$L \le KC_1 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\rho(\varphi,x)}{x^2} \omega(\rho(\varphi,x))dx.$$

Further

$$M := \frac{L}{2\pi KC_1} \le \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} M(x,\varphi)\frac{dx}{2\pi},$$

where

$$M(x,\varphi)=\frac{\rho(\varphi,x)}{x^2}\omega(\rho(\varphi,x)).$$

The idea is to make use of the convex function constructed in Lemma 2.7, which depends on K and Ω only to be found in the sequel.

Assume that $\chi:\mathbf{R}^+\to\mathbf{R}^+$ is a continuous increasing function to be determined in the sequel such that the function $\Phi(t)=t\chi(t)$ is convex. By using Jensen inequality to the previous integral w.r.t. convex function Φ we obtain

$$\Phi(M)\leq\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\Phi(M(x,\varphi))\,\frac{dx}{2\pi},$$

i.e.

$$M\chi(M)\leq\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}M(x,\varphi)\chi(M(x,\varphi))\,\frac{dx}{2\pi}. \tag{3.5}$$

In order to continue, we make use of (2.7) from where and (3.4) we infer that

$$\rho(\varphi,x)\leq B_\gamma L|x|. \tag{3.6}$$

On the other hand by using Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\rho(\varphi,x)\leq B_\gamma\Lambda_\gamma(K)|x|^\alpha. \tag{3.7}$$

This implies that

$$M(x,\varphi)=\frac{\rho(\varphi,x)}{x^2}\omega(\rho(\varphi,x))\leq\frac{B_\gamma L}{x}\omega(B_\gamma\Lambda_\gamma(K)|x|^\alpha). \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$M(x,\varphi)=\frac{\rho(\varphi,x)}{x^2}\omega(\rho(\varphi,x))\leq\frac{B_\gamma\Lambda_\gamma(K)}{x^{2-\alpha}}\omega(B_\gamma\Lambda_\gamma(K)|x|^\alpha). \tag{3.9}$$

So in view of Definition 2.1 we have

$$M(x,\varphi)\leq\frac{B_\gamma\Lambda_\gamma(K)}{x^{2-\alpha}}\omega(|\gamma|). \tag{3.10}$$

From (3.5) and (3.8), we obtain

(3.11)

$$\begin{aligned}\chi\left(\frac{L}{2\pi KC_1}\right)&\leq\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\frac{KC_1B_\gamma}{x}\omega(B_\gamma\Lambda_\gamma(K)|x|^\alpha)\chi\left(\frac{B_\gamma\Lambda_\gamma(K)\omega(|\gamma|)}{|x|^{2-\alpha}}\right)dx\\&=2\int_0^{\pi}\frac{KC_1B_\gamma}{x}\omega(B_\gamma\Lambda_\gamma(K)|x|^\alpha)\chi\left(\frac{B_\gamma\Lambda_\gamma(K)\omega(|\gamma|)}{|x|^{2-\alpha}}\right)dx\\&=\frac{2KC_1B_\gamma}{B_\gamma\Lambda_\gamma(K)\alpha}\int_0^{B}\frac{\omega(y)}{y}\chi\left(Qy^{1-2/\alpha}\right)dy,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$B=B_\gamma\Lambda_\gamma(K)\pi^\alpha$$

and

$$Q=\omega(|\gamma|)(B_\gamma\Lambda_\gamma(K))^{2-2/\alpha}.$$

In view of the last term of (3.11), now it is the time to determine the function χ. Lemma 2.7, where $q = 2/\alpha - 1$, and $A(y) = \omega(y)/y$, provides us a function χ such that Φ is convex and there holds the estimate

$$\int_0^B \frac{\omega(y)}{y}\chi\left(Qy^{1-2/\alpha}\right)dy \leq 4\int_0^B \frac{\omega(y)}{y}dy.$$

From (3.11) we have

$$\chi\left(\frac{L}{2\pi KC_1}\right) \leq \frac{8KC_1B_\gamma}{B_\gamma\Lambda_\gamma(K)\alpha}\int_0^B \frac{\omega(y)}{y}dy =: \Upsilon(K,\Omega).$$

Since χ is increasing we infer finally that

$$L \leq 2\pi KC_1 \cdot \chi^{-1}(\Upsilon(K,\Omega)) = \frac{\pi^2}{2}K \cdot \chi^{-1}(\Upsilon(K,\Omega)). \tag{3.12}$$

By the maximum principle, for $z = re^{i\varphi}$, we further have

$$|\partial_\varphi f(z)| \leq L.$$

Since f is $K-$quasiconformal, we have

$$|Dw(z)| \leq K|\partial_\varphi f(z)|.$$

This and Mean value inequality implies that

$$|f(z) - f(z')| \leq KL|z - z'|, \quad |z| < 1, |z'| < 1. \tag{3.13}$$

(ii) $F \notin C^{1,\varpi}(\mathbf{T})$. In order to deal with non-smooth F, we make use of approximate argument. We begin by this definition.

Definition 3.1. *Let G be a domain in $\mathbb{C}$ and let $a \in \partial G$. We will say that $G_a \subset G$ is a neighborhood of a if there exists a disk $D(a,r) := \{z : |z-a| < r\}$ such that $D(a,r) \cap G \subset G_a$.*

Let $t = e^{ix} \in \mathbf{T}$, then $F(t) = \Psi(x) \in \partial\Omega$. Let g be an arc-length parametrization of $\partial\Omega$ with $g(\psi(x)) = F(e^{ix})$, where $\psi : [0, 2\pi] \to [0, |\gamma|]$ as in the first part of the proof. Put $s = \psi(x)$. Since modulus of continuity of g' is a Dini continuous function ω, there exists a neighborhood Ω_t of $\Psi(t)$, such that the derivative of its arc-length parametrization g_t' has modulus of continuity $C_t \cdot \omega$. Moreover there exist positive numbers r_t and R_t such that,

$$\Omega_t^\tau := \Omega_t + ig'(s) \cdot \tau \quad \subset \quad \Omega, \quad \tau \in (0, R_t) \tag{3.14}$$

$$\partial\Omega_t^\tau \quad \subset \quad \Omega, \quad \tau \in (0, R_t) \tag{3.15}$$

$$g[s - r_t, s + r_t] \quad \subset \quad \partial\Omega_t. \tag{3.16}$$

An example of a family Ω_t^τ such that $\partial\Omega_t^\tau \in C^{1,\alpha}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ and with the property (3.14) has been given in [16]. The same construction yields the family $\partial\Omega_t^\tau$ with the above mentioned properties.

Take $U_\tau = f^{-1}(\Omega_t^\tau)$. Let η_t^τ be a conformal mapping of the unit disk onto U_τ with normalized boundary condition: $\eta_t^\tau(e^{i2k\pi/3}) = f^{-1}(\zeta_k)$, $k = 0, 1, 2$, where $\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \zeta_2$ are three points of $\partial\Omega_t^\tau$ of equal distance. Then the mapping

$$f_t^\tau(z) := f(\eta_t^\tau(z)) - ig'(s) \cdot \tau$$

is a harmonic K quasiconformal mapping of the unit disk onto Ω_t satisfying the boundary normalization. Moreover

$$f_t^\tau = \mathcal{P}[F_t^\tau] \in C^1(\overline{\mathbf{U}}),$$

for some function $F_t^\tau \in C^1(\mathbf{T})$.

Since $[0, l]$ is compact, there exists a finite family of Jordan arcs

$$\gamma_j = g(s_j - r_{s_j}/2, s_j + r_{s_j}/2),\ j = 1, \dots, n,$$

covering γ and assume that $F(t_j) = s_j$. Let

$$F_{j,\tau} := F_{t_j}^\tau,\ \ a_{j,\tau} := \eta_{t_j}^\tau \text{ and } f_{j,\tau} := f_{t_j}^\tau.$$

Using the case "$F \in C^{1,\varpi}$" it follows that there exists a constant $C_j' = C'(K, \gamma_j)$ such that

$$|\partial_\varphi F_{j,\tau}'(e^{i\varphi})| \le C_j'$$

and

$$|f_{j,\tau}(z_1) - f_{j,\tau}(z_2)| \le KC_j'|z_1 - z_2|. \tag{3.17}$$

Since $a_{j,\tau}(z)$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbf{U}$ to the function $a_{j,0}(z)$ when $\tau \to 0$, and since $f_{j,\tau} = f \circ a_{j,\tau}$, (3.17) implies

$$|f_j(z_1) - f_j(z_2)| \le KC_j'|z_1 - z_2| \text{ for } z_1, z_2 \in \overline{\mathbf{U}}, \tag{3.18}$$

where $f_j = f \circ a_{j,0} = \mathcal{P}[F_j]$. For $z_1 = e^{it}$ and $z_2 = e^{i\varphi}$, $t \to \varphi$ we obtain that $|\partial_\varphi F_j(e^{i\varphi})| \le KC_j'\ a.e.$ Since the mapping $b_j = a_{0,j}^{-1}$ can be extended conformally across the arc $S_j = f^{-1}(\lambda_j)$, where $\lambda_j = g(s_j - t_{s_j}, s_j + t_{s_j})$, there exists a constant L_j such that $|b_j(z)| \le L_j$ on $S_j' = \mathbf{T} \cap f^{-1}(\gamma_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. Hence $|\partial_\varphi F(e^{i\varphi})| \le KC_j' \cdot L_j$ on S_j'. Let $C' = \max\{KC_j' \cdot L_j : j = 1, \dots, n\}$. (1.8) and (1.9) easily follow from $\mathbf{T} = \bigcup_{j=1}^n S_j'$.

The proof is completed.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am grateful to professor Alexandre Eremenko, whose idea is used in the proof of Lemma 2.7, and for his numerous useful suggestions and corrections that have improved substantially this paper.

REFERENCES

[1] L. Ahlfors: *Lectures on quasiconformal mappings*, Van Nostrand Princeton, N.J., 1966.
[2] G. Alessandrini and V. Nesi: *Invertible harmonic mappings, beyond Kneser.* Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, Cl. Sci. **5** VIII (2009), 451-468.
[3] S. Axler, P. Bourdon and W. Ramey: *Harmonic function theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York 1992.
[4] A. Beurling and L. Ahlfors: *The boundary correspondence under quasiconformal mappings*, Acta Math. 96 (1956), 125-142.
[5] G. Choquet: *Sur un type de transformation analytique généralisant la représentation conforme et définie au moyen de fonctions harmoniques*, Bull. Sci. Math.(2) 69 (1945), 156-165.
[6] P. Duren: *Harmonic mappings in the plane.* Cambridge University Press, 2004.

[7] P. Duren and W. Hengartner: *Harmonic mappings of multiply connected domains.* Pacific J. Math. **180**:2 (1997), 201 – 220.
[8] G. M. Goluzin: *Geometric function theory* , Nauka Moskva 1966 (Russian).
[9] P. L. Duren, *Theory of H^p Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
[10] J. Garnett: *Bounded analytic functions.* Pure and Applied Mathematics, 96. Academic Press, Inc., New York-London, 1981. xvi+467 pp.
[11] E. Heinz, *On one-to-one harmonic mappings*, Pacific J. Math. **9** (1959), 101–105.
[12] J. Jost: *Univalency of harmonic mappings between surfaces.* J. Reine Angew. Math. **324** (1981), 141–153.
[13] D. Kalaj: *On harmonic diffeomorphisms of the unit disc onto a convex domain.* Complex Variables, Theory Appl. 48, No.2, 175-187 (2003).
[14] D. Kalaj, *Invertible harmonic mappings beyond the Kneser theorem and quasiconformal harmonic mappings.* Stud. Math. **207**, 117–136 (2011).
[15] D. Kalaj, *On boundary correspondences under quasiconformal harmonic mappings between smooth Jordan domains.* Math. Nachr. **285**, 283–294 (2012).
[16] D. Kalaj, *Quasiconformal and harmonic mappings between Jordan domains.* Math. Z. 260, No. 2, 237-252 (2008).
[17] D. Kalaj; M. Pavlović: *Boundary correspondence under harmonic quasiconformal homeomorfisms of a half-plane* Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Math. 30, No.1, (2005) 159-165.
[18] D. Kalaj, M. Mateljević: *Inner estimate and quasiconformal harmonic maps between smooth domains*, Journal d'Analise Math. 100. 117-132, (2006).
[19] D. Kalaj: *A priori estimate of gradient of a solution to certain differential inequality and quasiconformal mappings*, Journal d'Analise Mathematique (2013), **119**, Issue 1, pp 63-88.
[20] D. Kalaj: *Harmonic mappings and distance function.* Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) Vol. X (2011), 669–681.(arXiv:1011.3012).
[21] D. Kalaj: *Quasiconformal harmonic functions between convex domains*, Publ. Inst. Math., Nouv. Ser. 76(90), 3-20 (2004).
[22] O. Kellogg: *On the derivatives of harmonic functions on the boundary,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 33 (1931), 689-692.
[23] F. D. Lesley; S. E. Warschawski: *On conformal mappings with derivative in VMOA.* Math. Z. 158, 275-283 (1978).
[24] H. Lewy: *On the non-vanishing of the Jacobian in certain in one-to-one mappings,* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 42. (1936), 689-692.
[25] O. Martio: *On harmonic quasiconformal mappings*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Ser. A I 425 (1968), 3-10.
[26] M. Pavlović: *Boundary correspondence under harmonic quasiconformal homeomorfisms of the unit disc*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Vol 27, 2002, 365-372.
[27] M. Pavlović: *Introduction to function spaces on the disk.* 20. Matematički Institut SANU, Belgrade, 2004. vi+184 pp.
[28] C. Pommerenke: *Univalent functions.* Vanderhoeck & Riprecht, 1975.
[29] Warschawski, S.E. Boundary derivatives of minimal surfaces. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 38, 241-256 (1970).
[30] Warschawski, S.E.: *On differentiability at the boundary in conformal mapping.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 12, 614–620 (1961).
[31] A. Zygmund: *Trigonometric Series I.* Cambrige University Press, 1958.

FACULTY OF NATURAL SCIENCES AND MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MONTENEGRO, DZORDZA VASINGTONA B.B. 81000, PODGORICA, MONTENEGRO
E-mail address: davidk@ac.me